## **REMARKS**

The Office Action dated May 5, 2005 has been received and carefully noted. The above amendments to the claims, and the following remarks, are submitted as a full and complete response to the Office Action.

The Applicant is grateful for the indication that claims 4, 5, 7, and 8 recite allowable subject matter. Accordingly claims 4, 5 and 7 are rewritten into independent form including the base and intervening claims, 9-10, 15, and 17 are amended to change their dependencies and 19-24 are amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 4. Claims 1-3 are cancelled without prejudice. No new matter is added. Claims 4-24 are respectfully submitted for consideration.

The Office Action objected to claims 3 and 9. As discussed above, claim 3 is cancelled and therefore, the objection of claim 3 is moot. Claim 9 is amended to correct the informality indicated in the Office Action. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3, 6, and 9-24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by 3GPP TS 33.102 V3.9.0 (2001-06) Release 1999 (3GPP). The rejections of claims 1-3 are most in light of the cancellation of claims 1-3, and the amendments noted above. It is respectfully submitted that 3GPP fails to disclose all of the features of the pending claims.

As stated above, claim 4 is amended into independent form and claims 6, 9, 10, 15 and 17 are amended to depend from claim 4. Thus, Applicants submit that since claims 6 and 9-18 depend from claim 4, these claims are allowable at least for the same reasons as claim 4.

Further, as stated above, claims 19-24 are amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 4. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that claims 19-24 are allowable at least for the same reasons as claim 4.

It is respectfully submitted that claims 6 and 9-24 recite subject matter that is neither disclosed nor suggested by the cited reference. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C §102(e) is respectfully requested.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication in the Office Action that claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 recite allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten into independent form. Accordingly, claims 4, 5, and 7 are rewritten into independent form including the base and intervening claims. Thus, claims 4, 5, and 7 are allowable. Further, since claim 8 depends from claim 7, claim 8 is allowable at least for the same reasons as claim 7. Withdrawal of the objection of claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 is respectfully requested.

## **CONCLUSION**

It is respectfully submitted that claims 4-24 recite subject matter that is neither disclosed nor suggested in the cited references. Accordingly it is respectfully requested that claims 4-24 be allowed and this application passed to issue.

If for any reason the Examiner determines that the application is not now in condition for allowance, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact, by telephone, the applicants undersigned attorney at the indicated telephone number to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this application.

In the event this paper is not being timely filed, the applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension together with any additional fees may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account 50-2222.

Respectfully submitted,

David E. Brown

Registration No. 51,091

Customer No. 32294

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP 14<sup>TH</sup> Floor 8000 Towers Crescent Drive Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182-2700

Telephone: 703-720-7800

Fax: 703-720-7802

DEB:mm

Enclosures: Fee Transmittal